

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

HEADWATER RESEARCH LLC,

Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless *et al*,

Defendants and Counterclaimant-Plaintiffs.

Case No. 2:25-cv-00391-JRG-RSP

(Lead Case)

HEADWATER RESEARCH LLC,

Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

T-MOBILE USA, INC *et al*,

Defendants and Counterclaimant-Plaintiffs.

Case No. 2:25-cv-00359-JRG-RSP

(Member Case)

HEADWATER RESEARCH LLC,

Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

AT&T SERVICES, INC. *et al*

Defendants and Counterclaimant-Plaintiffs.

Case No. 2:25-cv-00428-JRG-RSP

(Member Case)

HEADWATER’S ANSWER TO T-MOBILE’S COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant Headwater Research LLC (“Headwater”) hereby answers Defendants and Counterclaimant-Plaintiffs’ T-Mobile USA, Inc. and Sprint LLC (collectively, “T-Mobile” or “Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiff”), counterclaims as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Headwater does not have knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 and on that basis: denied.

2. Admitted.

3. Admit that Headwater is the owner and assignee of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,023,425 (“425 Patent”), 8,631,102 (“102 Patent”), and 8,799,451 (“451 Patent”) (collectively, the “Asserted Patents”).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. Admitted.

5. Admitted.

6. Admitted.

7. Headwater admits that it has sued T-Mobile for infringement of the Asserted Patents and that there is a substantial, actual, and continuing controversy between Headwater and T-Mobile as to the infringement of the asserted patents. Headwater denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 7.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

8. Admitted.

9. Admitted.

10. Admitted.

11. Admit that T-Mobile asserts that the Asserted Patents are invalid, but deny that patents are invalid.

12. Admit that T-Mobile further denies any allegation of infringement of the Asserted Patents, but deny that T-Mobile does not infringe.

13. Headwater admits that it has sued T-Mobile for infringement of the Asserted

Patents and that there is a substantial, actual, and continuing controversy between Headwater and T-Mobile as to the infringement of the asserted patents. Headwater denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 13.

14. Headwater admits T-Mobile seeks a declaratory judgment of non-infringement of the Asserted Patents, but denies that T-Mobile is entitled to the relief it seeks.

15. Headwater admits T-Mobile seeks relief, but denies that T-Mobile is entitled to the relief it seeks.

First Counterclaim
(Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '425 Patent)

16. Headwater incorporates the preceding paragraphs by reference, as though fully set forth herein.

17. Admitted.

18. Admitted.

19. Denied.

20. Admitted.

21. Headwater admits T-Mobile seeks relief, but denies that T-Mobile is entitled to the relief it seeks.

Second Counterclaim
(Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '102 Patent)

22. Headwater incorporates the preceding paragraphs by reference, as though fully set forth herein.

23. Admitted.

24. Admitted.

25. Denied.

26. Admitted.

27. Headwater admits T-Mobile seeks relief, but denies that T-Mobile is entitled to the relief it seeks.

Second Counterclaim
(Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '451 Patent)

28. Headwater incorporates the preceding paragraphs by reference, as though fully set forth herein.

29. Admitted.

30. Admitted.

31. Denied.

32. Admitted.

33. Headwater admits T-Mobile seeks relief, but denies that T-Mobile is entitled to the relief it seeks.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

In response to T-Mobile's prayer for relief, Headwater denies that T-Mobile is entitled to any relief, including any of the relief requested in paragraphs A–I of T-Mobile's prayer for relief. Further, Headwater requests the following relief:

WHEREFORE, Headwater respectfully requests that this Court enter:

a. A judgment in favor of Headwater that T-Mobile has infringed, either directly or indirectly, literally, under the doctrine of equivalents, or otherwise, the Asserted Patents;

b. A permanent injunction prohibiting T-Mobile from further acts of infringement of the Asserted Patents;

c. A judgment and order requiring T-Mobile to pay Headwater its damages, enhanced damages, costs, expenses, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest for T-Mobile's

infringement of the Asserted Patents;

d. A judgment and order requiring T-Mobile to provide accountings and to pay supplemental damages to Headwater, including without limitation, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;

e. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to Headwater its reasonable attorneys' fees against T-Mobile; and

f. Any and all other relief as the Court may deem appropriate and just under the circumstances.

JURY DEMAND

Headwater, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Dated: July 25, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Marc Fenster

Marc Fenster

CA State Bar No. 181067

Email: mfenster@raklaw.com

Reza Mirzaie

CA State Bar No. 246953

Email: rmirzaie@raklaw.com

Brian Ledahl

CA State Bar No. 186579

Email: bledahl@raklaw.com

Ben Wang

CA State Bar No. 228712

Email: bwang@raklaw.com

Dale Chang

CA State Bar No. 248657

Email: dchang@raklaw.com

Paul Kroeger

CA State Bar No. 229074

Email: pkroeger@raklaw.com

Neil A. Rubin

CA State Bar No. 250761

Email: nrubin@raklaw.com

Kristopher Davis

CA State Bar No. 329627

Email: kdavis@raklaw.com

James S. Tsuei

CA State Bar No. 285530

Email: jtsuei@raklaw.com

Philip Wang

CA State Bar No. 262239

Email: pwang@raklaw.com

Amy Hayden

CA State Bar No. 287026

Email: ahayden@raklaw.com

Jason M. Wietholter

CA State Bar No. 337139

Email: jwietholter@raklaw.com

RUSS AUGUST & KABAT

12424 Wilshire Blvd. 12th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90025

Telephone: 310-826-7474

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF AND
COUNTERCLAIM-DEFENDANT,
Headwater Research LLC**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served on July 25, 2025 with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3).

/s/ Marc Fenster

Marc Fenster